E CONF SERIES

International Conference on Multidisciplinary Sciences and Educational Practices
Hosted online from Rome, Italy
Website: econfseries.com 27th March, 2025

# SUMMARY OF REVIEW ON FUEL CONSUMPTION, DRAFT FORCE, AND GROUND SPEED MEASUREMENTS OF AGRICULTURAL TRACTORS

Author: Aziza Tajimuratova

## Abstract

This review highlights the importance of measuring fuel consumption, draft force, and ground speed of agricultural tractors during tillage operations. Accurate estimations help in selecting conservation practices, optimizing machinery usage, and improving energy efficiency. Various measurement methods are analyzed, discussing their advantages and limitations.

**Keywords:** Fuel consumption, draft force, ground speed, agricultural tractor, tillage operations, measurement techniques, load cells, flow meters, precision agriculture, energy efficiency, tractor performance, data acquisition systems

## 1. Introduction

Tillage is one of the most energy-intensive agricultural operations, consuming up to 59% of a farm's diesel fuel. Understanding fuel consumption is crucial for selecting suitable tractors and reducing operational costs. Factors like soil type, moisture, and implement design impact fuel efficiency. Measuring draft force and ground speed ensures effective machinery management and precision agriculture applications. Additionally, advancements in technology have led to the development of improved measurement methods that enhance data accuracy and efficiency in agricultural operations.

Fuel consumption measurements provide essential data for energy management decisions. These measurements are necessary for determining the size of the power unit required, which is a significant capital investment for farmers. The use of fuel-efficient machinery can reduce operational costs and improve sustainability in agriculture. Various researchers have studied the impact of different factors on tractor fuel consumption, including speed, soil conditions, and implement type.

**2. Fuel Consumption Measurement** Different techniques for fuel measurement include:

- **Manual Method**: Fuel tank filled before and after operation to measure consumption.
- **Instrumentation Systems**: Devices such as flow meters, turbine sensors, and computerized monitoring systems provide more precise fuel data.
- **Advanced Sensors**: Doppler radar and fluidyne instrumentation offer real-time monitoring and improved accuracy.

Several studies have focused on improving fuel measurement methods. Some researchers have developed high-precision instrumentation packages that include data acquisition systems and transducers for real-time monitoring. Others have explored the use of turbine flow transducers that provide continuous fuel flow data. These systems help reduce measurement errors and improve fuel efficiency analysis.

**3. Draft Force Measurement** Draft force, which impacts fuel efficiency, is measured using:

- **Load Cells**: Devices like Novatech bidirectional load cells are used between the drawbar and tractor.
- **Three-Point Hitch System**: Measures draft force in mounted implements.
- **Proving Ring Load Cells**: Installed at the drawbar's front end for force analysis.

Measuring draft force is crucial for determining the power requirements of tillage implements. Different soil conditions and implement types can significantly impact draft force. Researchers have investigated methods to optimize draft force measurement to enhance tractor performance and reduce fuel consumption. Some studies have utilized advanced load cell technology to improve accuracy in draft force data collection.

The application of draft measurement techniques extends beyond fuel efficiency. Accurate draft force data is essential for selecting appropriate tractor-implement combinations, improving soil management practices, and enhancing overall field productivity. Future advancements in load cell technology and digital data acquisition can further refine draft force measurement processes.

**4. Ground Speed Measurement** Tractor speed affects soil compaction and energy use. Common measurement techniques include:

- **Fifth-Wheel Sensor**: An independent wheel to measure true speed.
- **Front-Wheel Speed Sensor**: Uses an optical encoder to determine rotation.
- **Radar-Based Measurement**: Doppler radar detects real-time speed variations.

Ground speed measurement plays a critical role in precision agriculture. Ensuring accurate speed measurement allows farmers to optimize fuel usage and improve application rates for fertilizers and pesticides. Several studies have examined the accuracy of different ground speed measurement techniques, with radar-based sensors proving to be among the most reliable.

One of the major challenges in speed measurement is minimizing errors caused by wheel slip, especially in varying soil conditions. Researchers have explored GPS-based measurement systems to improve accuracy. Some studies suggest integrating multiple measurement methods to ensure more precise speed readings under different field conditions.

**5. Conclusion** Measuring fuel consumption, draft force, and ground speed helps optimize agricultural operations. While traditional methods exist, modern sensor-based systems offer higher accuracy and efficiency. Choosing the right technique depends on tractor type, implement design, and field conditions. Future research should focus on improving sensor precision and integrating real-time data processing for better decision-making.

The adoption of advanced measurement technologies can contribute to sustainable agricultural practices by reducing fuel consumption and enhancing energy efficiency. Researchers continue to explore innovative solutions to further optimize tillage operations, ensuring minimal environmental impact and improved economic returns for farmers.

In conclusion, accurate measurement of fuel consumption, draft force, and ground speed is essential for optimizing agricultural efficiency. As precision farming technologies continue to evolve, integrating advanced sensor-based systems will play a key role in future agricultural mechanization strategies. Ongoing research and

development in this field will help drive advancements in farm equipment design and operational efficiency, ensuring long-term sustainability in modern agriculture.

## References

1. Mamkagh, A. M. (2002). Factors affecting tractor fuel consumption during tillage operation. *Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 10*(2), 441–452.
2. Green, M. K., Stout, B. A., & Searcy, S. W. (1985). Instrumentation package for monitoring tractor performance. *Transactions of the ASAE, 28*(2), 346–355.
3. Bedri, A. R. A., & Al-Hashem, H. A. S. (2006). High precision instrumentation package for monitoring tractor performance. *Scientific Journal of King Faisal University (Basic and Applied Sciences, 7*, 95–106.
4. Moitzi, G., Wagentristl, H., Refenner, K., Weingartmann, H., Piringer, G., & Boxberger, J. (2014). Effects of working depth and wheel slip on fuel consumption of selected tillage implements. *Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal, 16*(1), 182–190.
5. Keskin, M., & Say, S. M. (2006). Feasibility of low-cost GPS receivers for ground speed measurement. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 54*(1), 36–43.
6. Gonzalez-de-Soto, M., Emmi, L., Garcia, I., & Gonzalez-de-Santos, P. (2015). Reducing fuel consumption in weed and pest control using robotic tractors. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 114*, 96–113.
7. Kheiralla, A. F., Yahya, A., Zohadie, M., & Ishak, W. (2004). Modeling of power and energy requirements for tillage implements operating in Serdang sandy clay loam, Malaysia. *Soil and Tillage Research, 78*, 21–34.
8. Mamkagh, A. M. (2018). Effect of tillage speed, depth, ballast weight, and tire inflation pressure on the fuel consumption of the agricultural tractor: A review. *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports, 9*(1), 1–7.
9. Pitla, S., Luck, J., Werner, J., Lin, N., & Shearer, S. (2016). In-field fuel use and load states of agricultural field machinery. *Biological Systems Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln.*

10. Abbaspour-Gilandeh, Y., Alimardani, R., Khalilian, A., Keyhani, A., & Sadati, H. (2006). Energy requirement of site-specific and conventional tillage as affected by tractor speed and soil parameters. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, *8*(4), 499–503.
11. Ranjbarian, S., Askari, M., & Jannatkhah, J. (2015). Performance of tractor and tillage implements in clay soil. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, *16*(2), 154–162.
12. Shannon, K., Brumett, J., Ellis, C., & Hoette, G. (2001). Can a $300 GPS receiver be used for yield mapping? *American Society of Agricultural Engineers Annual Meeting, 011154.*
13. Pang, S., Zoerb, G., & Wang, G. (1985). Tractor monitor based on indirect fuel measurement. *Transactions of the ASAE*, *28*(4), 994–998.
14. Janulevicius, A., & Damanauskas, V. (2015). How to select air pressures in the tires of MFWD tractor to minimize fuel consumption for reasonable wheel slip. *Energy*, *90*(1), 691–700.
15. Tompkins, F. D., Hart, W. E., Freeland, R. S., Wilkerson, J. B., & Wilhelm, L. R. (1988). Comparison of tractor ground speed measurement techniques. *Transactions of the ASAE*, *31*(2), 369–374.