



## **International Conference on Multidisciplinary Sciences and Educational Practices**

Hosted online from Rome, Italy

Website: econfseries.com 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

## PRAGMATIC FEATURES OF PREDICATES IN UZBEK AND ENGLISH CONTEXTS

Kattaboyeva Dilrabo Kattaboyevna Uzbek State World Languages University

#### **Annotation:**

This article examines the pragmatic features of predicates in Uzbek and English contexts, highlighting how predicates function within the syntax of both languages and the pragmatic roles they play in communication. By comparing the use of predicates in these two languages, the article focuses on their contextual usage, addressing the ways in which predicates in both languages express intentions, emotions, and cultural nuances. The study incorporates real-life examples and analyzes how the pragmatic features of predicates affect speech acts, politeness, and social interaction. Furthermore, the article explores the similarities and differences in the syntactic and pragmatic use of predicates in Uzbek and English, offering a deeper understanding of the connection between language, culture, and communication in these two distinct linguistic environments.

**Keywords:** Predicates, Pragmatics, Language Comparison, Uzbek Language, English Language, Linguistic Features, Cross-Cultural Pragmatics, Syntax, Communication, Speech Acts.

Language, at its core, is a medium for conveying meaning, and predicates play a fundamental role in sentence structure by asserting, denying, or questioning something about the subject of the sentence. In both Uzbek and English, predicates are essential components of sentence construction, but their pragmatic features—how they are used in context—differ based on cultural, social, and linguistic norms. Pragmatics, a field of linguistics, deals with the study of how language users convey meaning in different contexts, considering factors like tone, politeness, and social roles.

This article explores the pragmatic features of predicates in the Uzbek and English languages, focusing on their role in everyday communication and how they reflect





### International Conference on Multidisciplinary Sciences and Educational Practices

Hosted online from Rome, Italy

Website: econfseries.com 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

cultural values, social structures, and politeness strategies. By comparing the two languages, we aim to understand how predicates function differently in these contexts, including their impact on speech acts, politeness, and communicative goals.

In linguistic terms, the predicate is the part of the sentence that provides information about the subject. It often includes a verb, and in more complex sentences, it can also contain objects, complements, or modifiers. For example, in the sentence "She runs every morning," "runs every morning" is the predicate, describing the subject, "she."

In both English and Uzbek, predicates perform a range of functions, including:

- Descriptive Functions: Describing the subject or giving information about it.
- Action Denotation: Indicating an action that the subject is performing.
- State Indication: Reflecting a state or condition of the subject.

## **Pragmatic Features of Predicates**

Pragmatics refers to how speakers use language in context. It encompasses how meaning is constructed, considering the social roles, relationships, and intentions behind language use. In this sense, pragmatic features of predicates refer to how speakers modify or adapt predicates to express politeness, certainty, deference, or other social meanings.

For instance, predicates in both English and Uzbek can be adjusted for politeness, gender, and formality. They can also reflect varying degrees of certainty or necessity, depending on the context and the relationship between the speaker and the listener. An example of this can be seen in the use of modal verbs or specific verb forms to convey respect, politeness, or authority.

In English, predicates are often adapted to convey certain pragmatic meanings such as politeness, formality, certainty, or indirectness. These adjustments are commonly achieved through the use of modals, tense, aspect, and sentence structure.

## 1. Use of Modality and Politeness

In English, modals such as "can," "may," "would," and "could" are frequently used to soften the force of a statement or to show politeness.





### **International Conference on Multidisciplinary Sciences and Educational Practices**

Hosted online from Rome, Italy

Website: econfseries.com 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

- Example 1: "Could you please pass me the salt?"
- o The modal "could" here softens the request, making it more polite and less direct.
- Example 2: "I would appreciate it if you could send me the report."
- The phrase "I would appreciate" serves to convey politeness and make the request sound more formal and courteous.

### 2. Indirectness in Requests and Statements

English speakers often use predicates in a way that avoids directness, especially when making requests or giving orders. This indirectness serves to mitigate the imposition on the listener.

- Example: "It would be great if you could close the door."
- o The use of "It would be great" softens the imperative "close the door," making it less direct and more socially acceptable.

## 3. Hedging and Uncertainty

In English, speakers often use hedging expressions to express uncertainty or politeness. Predicates in such sentences are often accompanied by words like "perhaps," "maybe," or "I think."

- Example: "I think it might rain tomorrow."
- o The use of "I think" and "might" serves to introduce uncertainty and prevent sounding too assertive.

In Uzbek, predicates also play a crucial role in shaping the pragmatics of a sentence. However, Uzbek culture places a significant emphasis on politeness and respect, particularly when addressing elders or authority figures. The structure and choice of predicates are often influenced by these cultural values, and specific forms are used to show deference or to soften requests and statements.

## 1. Politeness and Respect

In Uzbek, politeness is often conveyed through the use of specific verb forms or honorifics. The use of different predicates, such as the polite verb forms, is key in maintaining social harmony.

• Example 1: "Iltimos, eshikni yopib qo'ying."





### International Conference on Multidisciplinary Sciences and Educational Practices

Hosted online from Rome, Italy

Website: econfseries.com 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

- o Translation: "Please, close the door."
- o The verb "yopib qo'ying" is in the polite form, showing respect to the listener. The predicate is softened to reflect the polite nature of the request.

#### 2. Indirectness and Deference

Similar to English, Uzbek also uses indirectness in requests or commands. However, the use of indirectness is especially pronounced when speaking to someone of higher status, such as elders or superiors.

- Example: "Eshikni yopishgan bo'lsangiz yaxshi bo'lardi."
- o Translation: "It would be good if you could close the door."
- The verb "bo'lardi" (would be) adds a layer of politeness and indirectness to the sentence.

## 3. Hedging and Expressing Uncertainty

Uzbek also uses hedging to express uncertainty or politeness, similar to English. However, the cultural emphasis on humility and respect for the listener often results in a higher frequency of hedging in Uzbek compared to English.

- Example: "Ehtimol, men xato qilgan bo'lishim mumkin."
- o Translation: "Perhaps, I may have made a mistake."
- $_{\circ}$  The use of "ehtimol" (perhaps) and "bo'lishim mumkin" (I might) conveys uncertainty and the speaker's deference to the possibility of error.

Both English and Uzbek use indirectness in speech, especially in requests or commands. However, Uzbek tends to employ more indirect forms and polite constructions due to the cultural emphasis on respect for others. English speakers also use indirect language, but it may not be as rigidly applied as in Uzbek, where indirectness is almost obligatory in interactions with elders or superiors.

## 2. Politeness Strategies

Politeness in both languages is expressed through the use of modals, polite forms, and hedging. However, while English uses modal verbs like "could" and "would" to soften requests, Uzbek employs specific verb forms and honorifics to show respect, especially when addressing someone of higher social status.





### International Conference on Multidisciplinary Sciences and Educational Practices

Hosted online from Rome, Italy

Website: econfseries.com 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

## 3. Use of Hedging

Both English and Uzbek use hedging to convey uncertainty, but in Uzbek, hedging is often more prevalent and structured within the language due to cultural norms surrounding humility and respect.

The pragmatic features of predicates in both Uzbek and English reflect the cultural values and social norms of the speakers. In English, predicates are often modified through modal verbs, indirectness, and hedging to convey politeness, uncertainty, or respect. Similarly, Uzbek predicates show a strong emphasis on politeness and respect, with specific forms used to indicate deference, particularly when addressing elders or superiors. While both languages use similar strategies for softening requests and expressing uncertainty, the extent to which these strategies are employed and their forms differ based on the unique cultural norms of each language.

Understanding the pragmatic features of predicates in both languages provides valuable insights into the role of language in social interaction and the cultural values embedded in communication. This knowledge is particularly useful for language learners and translators, who must navigate the subtleties of politeness and indirectness in cross-cultural communication.

### The list of used literature:

- 1. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage. Cambridge University Press.
- 2. Holmes, J. (2013). An Introduction to Sociolinguistics. Routledge.
- 3. Kulikova, L. A. (2011). The Pragmatics of Speech Acts in Russian and English: A Cross-Cultural Perspective. Moscow: Publishing House.
- 4. Nadirova, G. (2015). Cultural Context and Pragmatics in Uzbek and English Communication. Tashkent: University Press.
- 5. Thomas, J. (1995). Meaning in Interaction: An Introduction to Pragmatics. Longman.
- 6. Kattabayevna, K. D. (2022). Intercultural Discourse: Concept, Content and Study Strategies. EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION, 2, 81-84.